



**Homeland  
Security**

**Environmental Planning and Historic Preservation  
Decision Support System**

---

## 19 May 23 SF Giants Fireworks - Project Approved

### Status

---

- In Preparation (05/02/2023)
- Environmental Review (05/02/2023)
- Senior Environmental Review (05/02/2023)
- Proponent Review (05/07/2023)
- Project Approved (05/07/2023)

### Project Information

---

#### General

**Name:** 19 May 23 SF Giants Fireworks

**DSS ID:** DSS-USCG-2023-16933

**Security:** Unclassified

**Description:** Temporary Safety Zone for a 10 minute long fireworks display in the San Francisco Bay off of Pier 48 (McCovey Cove).

**Funded through IRA?:** No

**Funded through the IIJA?:** No

**Critical Infrastructure?:** No

**Adopting Another Agency Catex Determination?:** No

**Project Type:** Administrative & Regulatory Activities - Regulations for Regulated Navigation Areas and security or safety zones: Regulations establishing or increasing the size of Regulated Navigation Areas and security or safety zones.(CATEX \*L60a)

**Existing EA/EIS?:** No

**Requires EA/EIS?:** No

**Project Priority:** Normal

**Federal Assistance:** No

**Type of Permit:** N/A

**Estimated Project Cost:** (not entered)

#### Component

**Component:** USCG - U.S. Coast Guard

**Region/Area/Unit:** USCG Civil Engineering Unit – Oakland Ca

#### Dates

**FY Funding:** 2023

**Proposed Project Start:** 05/19/2023

**Proposed Project End:** 05/19/2023

**Review Start:** 05/02/2023

## Project Location

---

- U.S. Territorial Water: Temporary safety zone in the shape of a circle formed by connecting all points 700 feet from the circle center at approximate position 37° 46' 36" N, 122° 22' 56" W.

## Team

---

- Document Preparer, William Harris (Level I), William.K.Harris@uscg.mil
- Collaborator-Document Preparation, Alexandra Miller, alexandra.s.miller@uscg.mil
- Collaborator-Document Preparation, Anthony Solares (Level I), Anthony.I.solares@uscg.mil
- Collaborator-Document Preparation, Joel Henderson, joel.b.henderson@uscg.mil
- Environmental Reviewer, Shannon Curtaz-Milian (Level !), shannon.m.curtaz@uscg.mil
- Senior Environmental Reviewer, Shannon Curtaz-Milian (Level !), shannon.m.curtaz@uscg.mil
- Proponent, Taylor Lam, Taylor.Q.Lam@uscg.mil

## Categorical Exclusions

---

- L60(a)\* - Regulations for Regulated Navigation Areas and security or safety zones:  
Regulations establishing or increasing the size of Regulated Navigation Areas and security or safety zones.

## Required Conditions

---

1. Any change to the Proposed Action that may cause a physical interaction with the human environment will require re-evaluation for compliance with NEPA and other EP&HP requirements before the action can proceed.
2. This review addresses NEPA and other EP&HP requirements as described in DHS Directive 023-01. This review may identify the need for additional federal, state, and/or local permits, approvals, etc. required for the Proposed Action. However, this review may not satisfy those requirements and the Proponent is responsible for ensuring that all other appropriate federal, state, and/or local permits, approvals, etc. have been obtained.

## Decision Documents

---

- Record of Environmental Consideration (REC), 12.33kB

## Attachments

---

- There are no attachments.

## Comments

---

- There are no comments.

## EPHP Review

---

### Environmental Resources

- Is the Proposed Action a piece of a larger action or connected to another action? -- No  
Please explain how you came to this determination. : Proposed action is the creation of a temporary safety zone not connected to another action.
- Will the Proposed Action have a potentially significant effect on public health or safety? Areas to consider include, but are not limited to: environmental justice considerations; air quality; noise impacts; hazardous wastes and/or contamination; wastewater; potable water; and changes in modes or safety of transportation. -- No  
Explain how the proposed action would not have a potentially significant effect on public health or safety. : Proposed action is the creation of a temporary safety zone in the San Francisco Bay and will not have negative impacts on public health.
- Would the proposed action place a disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effect on minority populations and low-income populations? -- No
- Will the Proposed Action have a potentially significant effect on species or habitats protected by the Endangered Species Act, Marine Mammal Protection Act, Migratory Bird Treaty Act, Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act, or Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act? -- No  
Provide a conclusion under which statute the determination was made (e.g., no effect, NLAA, LAA, for ESA, etc.), how the determination was made, why it is considered significant, and copies of any consultation (informal and/or formal). : Proposed action is the creation of a temporary safety zone in the San Francisco Bay. See attached IPAC report, no species for above listed acts present in the area of the safety zone.  
Attachments: FWS, NMFS, or Wildlife Agency Consultation: IPAC (SF Giants IPAC.pdf, 5.74MB)
- What is your Endangered Species Act (ESA) finding and determination? -- No effect  
Explain how the determination was made (e.g., are species present in the area but your proposed action will have no effect? why?). Although not required, recommend attaching any consultation or correspondence conducted.: Proposed action is the creation of a temporary safety zone in the San Francisco Bay. No species covered in the above listed act noted in the area. See attached IPAC report.  
Attachments: ESA consultation: IPAC (SF Giants IPAC.pdf, 5.74MB)
- What is your Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) finding and determination? -- No effect or negligible effect

Explain how the determination was made (e.g., are species present in the area but your proposed action will have no effect or negligible effects? why?). Although not required, recommend attaching any consultation or correspondence conducted.: Proposed action is the creation of a temporary safety zone in the San Francisco Bay. See attached IPAC report regarding presence of marine mammals in the area.

Attachments: MMPA consultation: IPAC (SF Giants IPAC.pdf, 5.74MB)

- Would the proposed action adversely affect a species protected under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act or Migratory Bird Treaty Act or habitat for such species? -- No

Explain how the determination was made (e.g., are species present in the area but your proposed action will have no adverse effect or no significant effect? why?). Although not required, recommend attaching any consultation or correspondence conducted.: Proposed action is the creation of a temporary safety zone in the San Francisco Bay. See attached IPAC report for presence of migratory birds in the area.

Attachments: BGEPA MBTA consultation: IPAC (SF Giants IPAC.pdf, 5.74MB)

- What is your Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (essential fish habitat) finding and determination? -- No effect

Attachments: EFH consultation: (No files uploaded yet.)

- Will the Proposed Action have a potentially significant effect on an environmentally sensitive area? Examples include, but are not limited to: areas having special designation or recognition such as prime or unique agricultural lands, coastal zones, designated wilderness study areas, wild and scenic rivers, 100-year floodplains, wetlands, sole source aquifers, Marine Sanctuaries, National Wildlife Refuges, National Parks, National Monuments, etc. -- No resources present

Please explain how you came to this determination. : No environmentally sensitive areas located in the area of the proposed action.

- Will the Proposed Action result in the potential violation of a Federal, State, or local law or requirement imposed to protect the environment? -- No

Please summarize determination. : Proposed action is the creation of a temporary safety zone on the San Francisco Bay and will not violate any Federal, State, or local laws regarding environmental protection.

- Will the Proposed Action have an effect on the quality of the human environment that is likely to be highly controversial in terms of scientific validity, likely to be highly uncertain, or likely to involve unique or unknown environmental risks? -- No

Required: Please explain. : Proposed action is the creation of a temporary safety zone on the San Francisco Bay and will not involve controversial or questionable scientifically valid techniques with environmental risks.

- Will the Proposed Action employ new or unproven technology that is likely to involve unique or unknown environmental risks, where the effect on the human environment is likely to be highly uncertain, or where the effect on the human environment is likely to be highly controversial in terms

of scientific validity? -- No

Required: Please explain.: Proposed action is the creation of a temporary safety zone on the San Francisco Bay and will not have any aspects of controversial scientific validity.

- Will the Proposed Action establish a precedent for future actions that have significant effects? -- No  
Please explain how you came to this determination. : Proposed action is the creation of a temporary safety zone on the San Francisco Bay and will not establish precedent.

- Is the Proposed Action significantly greater in scope or size than normally experienced for its particular category of action? -- No

Required: Please summarize determination.: Proposed action is the creation of a temporary safety zone on the San Francisco Bay and is not greater in scope or size than other actions of similar type.

- Will the Proposed Action have the potential to result in the significant degradation of existing poor environmental conditions? Will the Proposed Action initiate a potentially significant environmentally degrading influence, activity, or effect in areas not already significantly modified from their natural condition? -- No

Please explain how you came to this determination. : Proposed action is the creation of a temporary safety zone on the San Francisco Bay and will not degrade the environment in the area.

- Is the Proposed Action related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts? -- No

Please explain how you came to this determination. : Proposed action is the creation of a temporary safety zone in the San Francisco Bay and will not have individually insignificant or cumulatively significant impacts.

- Are there any other requirements for the protection of the environment that need to be considered for this proposed action? -- No

### **Historic Preservation & Cultural Resources**

- Is the Proposed Action a piece of a larger action or connected to another action? -- No

Please explain how you came to this determination. : Proposed action is the creation of a temporary safety zone not connected to another action.

- Will the Proposed Action have a potentially significant effect on public health or safety? Areas to consider include, but are not limited to: environmental justice considerations; air quality; noise impacts; hazardous wastes and/or contamination; wastewater; potable water; and changes in modes or safety of transportation. -- No

Explain how the proposed action would not have a potentially significant effect on public health or safety. : Proposed action is the creation of a temporary safety zone in the San Francisco Bay and will not have negative impacts on public health.

- Would the proposed action place a disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effect on minority populations and low-income populations? -- No
- Will the Proposed Action have a potentially significant effect on species or habitats protected by the Endangered Species Act, Marine Mammal Protection Act, Migratory Bird Treaty Act, Bald and